

Modified double brackets and a conjecture of Arthamonov

Maxime Fairon

Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne (IMB)

University of Burgundy



INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
DE BOURGOGNE

VIII NAA Workshop, Linköping

24/10/2024

Plan for the talk

- 1 **(Modified) double brackets**
- 2 The approach of Goncharov-Gubarev
- 3 Mixed double Poisson algebras

Communications in Mathematics 33 (2025), no. 3, Paper 5 [arXiv:2405.17930]

Motivation

Field \mathbb{k} char. 0, $\bar{\mathbb{k}} = \mathbb{k}$

Following [Kontsevich, '93] and [Kontsevich-Rosenberg, '99]

$(n \in \mathbb{N}^\times)$

associative \mathbb{k} -algebra \rightarrow commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra
 $A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$

$\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ is generated by symbols a_{ij} , $\forall a \in A$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Rules : $1_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $(a + b)_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$, $(ab)_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj}$.

Goal: Find a property P_{nc} on A that gives the usual property P on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$

Motivation

Field \mathbb{k} char. 0, $\bar{\mathbb{k}} = \mathbb{k}$

Following [Kontsevich, '93] and [Kontsevich-Rosenberg, '99]

$(n \in \mathbb{N}^\times)$

associative \mathbb{k} -algebra \rightarrow commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra
 $A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$

$\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ is generated by symbols a_{ij} , $\forall a \in A$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Rules : $1_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $(a + b)_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$, $(ab)_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj}$.

Goal: Find a property P_{nc} on A that gives the usual property P on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$

Question: What about having a Poisson bracket?

Double brackets

We follow [Van den Bergh, *double Poisson algebras*, '08]

A/\mathbb{k} associative unital finitely generated

Notation: $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$; $d \in A^{\otimes 2}$ written $d = d' \otimes d''$

Double brackets

We follow [Van den Bergh, *double Poisson algebras*, '08]

A/\mathbb{k} associative unital finitely generated

Notation: $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$; $d \in A^{\otimes 2}$ written $d = d' \otimes d''$

Definition

A *double bracket* on A is a \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \times A \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ s.t.

- $\{\{a, b\}\} = -\tau_{(12)} \{\{b, a\}\}$ (cyclic skewsymmetry)
- $\{\{a, bc\}\} = (b \otimes 1) \{\{a, c\}\} + \{\{a, b\}\} (1 \otimes c)$ (outer derivation)
 $\{\{bc, a\}\} = (1 \otimes b) \{\{c, a\}\} + \{\{b, a\}\} (c \otimes 1)$ (inner derivation)

We use $(a' \otimes a'')(b' \otimes b'') = a'b' \otimes a''b''$

Hereafter, (2) are referred to as the “**derivation rules**”

Double Poisson bracket

From a double bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$, define for $a, b, c \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_L &= \{\{a, b\}\} \otimes c, \\ \{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_R &= b \otimes \{\{a, c\}\}, \\ \{\{b \otimes c, a\}\}_L &= \{\{b, a\}\} \otimes_1 c,\end{aligned}$$

Notation: $(a \otimes b) \otimes_1 c = a \otimes c \otimes b = c \otimes_1 (a \otimes b)$.

We consider the *double Jacobiator* $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac} : A^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow A^{\otimes 3}$ by

$$\mathbb{D}\text{Jac}(a, b, c) = \{\{a, \{\{b, c\}\}\}\}_L - \{\{b, \{\{a, c\}\}\}\}_R - \{\{\{a, b\}\}, c\}\}_L.$$

Double Poisson bracket

From a double bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$, define for $a, b, c \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_L &= \{\{a, b\}\} \otimes c, \\ \{\{a, b \otimes c\}\}_R &= b \otimes \{\{a, c\}\}, \\ \{\{b \otimes c, a\}\}_L &= \{\{b, a\}\} \otimes_1 c,\end{aligned}$$

Notation: $(a \otimes b) \otimes_1 c = a \otimes c \otimes b = c \otimes_1 (a \otimes b)$.

We consider the *double Jacobiator* $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac} : A^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow A^{\otimes 3}$ by

$$\mathbb{D}\text{Jac}(a, b, c) = \{\{a, \{\{b, c\}\}\}\}_L - \{\{b, \{\{a, c\}\}\}\}_R - \{\{\{a, b\}\}, c\}\}_L.$$

Definition

A double bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$ is *Poisson* if $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac} = 0$.

DPA : examples

Key property: enough to define $\{\{-, -\}$ on generators

Example

Take $A = \mathbb{k}[x]$ (or any quotient $\mathbb{k}[x]/(x^k)$).

$$\{\{x, x\}\} = x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$$

Example

Take $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle$

$$\{\{x, y\}\} = 1 \otimes 1, \quad \{\{x, x\}\} = 0, \quad \{\{y, y\}\} = 0$$

Relation to KR principle

Theorem (Van den Bergh, '08)

If A has a double bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$, then for any $n \geq 1$
 $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ has an antisymmetric biderivation $\{-, -\}_n$ defined by

$$\{a_{ij}, b_{kl}\}_n = \{\{a, b\}'_{kj} \{a, b\}''_{il}.$$

If $\{\{-, -\}\}$ is Poisson, then $\{-, -\}_n$ is a Poisson bracket.

Relation to KR principle

Theorem (Van den Bergh, '08)

If A has a double bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$, then for any $n \geq 1$
 $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$ has an antisymmetric biderivation $\{-, -\}_n$ defined by

$$\{a_{ij}, b_{kl}\}_n = \{\{a, b\}'_{kj} \{a, b\}''_{il}\}.$$

If $\{\{-, -\}\}$ is Poisson, then $\{-, -\}_n$ is a Poisson bracket.

Corollary (Van den Bergh, '08)

For double Poisson bracket $\{\{-, -\}\}$ on A ,

$$\{\text{tr}(a), \text{tr}(b)\}_n = \text{tr}(m \circ \{\{a, b\}\}), \quad \text{tr}(c) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} c_{jj},$$

defines a Poisson bracket on invariant subalgebra $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$.

DPA : examples and representations

Example

Take $A = \mathbb{k}[x]$ (or any quotient $\mathbb{k}[x]/(x^k)$).

$$\{\{x, x\}\} = x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$$

Induces PB of $\mathfrak{gl}_n \simeq \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$

Example

Take $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle$

$$\{\{x, y\}\} = 1 \otimes 1, \quad \{\{x, x\}\} = 0, \quad \{\{y, y\}\} = 0$$

Induces PB of $T^*\mathfrak{gl}_n \simeq \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$

↪ Second example extends to any path algebra of quiver !

Weak version of KR principle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{associative } \mathbb{k}\text{-algebra} & \rightarrow & \text{commutative } \mathbb{k}\text{-algebra} \\ A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n} \end{array}$$

Question:

Can we induce a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$ from some structure on A that may not lift to a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$?

Weak version of KR principle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{associative } \mathbb{k}\text{-algebra} & \rightarrow & \text{commutative } \mathbb{k}\text{-algebra} \\ A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n} \end{array}$$

Question:

Can we induce a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$ from some structure on A that may not lift to a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]$?

- 1 First approach [Crawley-Boevey, '05, '11] : H_0 -Poisson structures

Problem: hard to manipulate in general

- 2 Second approach [Arthamonov, '15, '17] : modified double brackets

Modified double brackets

Definition ([Arthamonov, '15, '17])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules is called a *modified double bracket* if the H_0 -skewsymmetry rule holds:

$$\{a, b\} + \{b, a\} \in [A, A] \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

where $\{-, -\} = m \circ \{\{-, -\}\}$.

Modified double brackets

Definition ([Arthamonov, '15, '17])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules is called a *modified double bracket* if the H_0 -skewsymmetry rule holds:

$$\{a, b\} + \{b, a\} \in [A, A] \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

where $\{-, -\} = m \circ \{\{-, -\}\}$. When it satisfies

$$\{a, \{b, c\}\} - \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{\{a, b\}, c\} = 0,$$

we call it a *modified double Poisson bracket*.

Double Poisson brackets are modified double Poisson brackets
Converse is far from true !

Modified double brackets

Definition ([Arthamonov,'15,'17])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules is called a *modified double bracket* if the H_0 -skewsymmetry rule holds:

$$\{a, b\} + \{b, a\} \in [A, A] \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

where $\{-, -\} = m \circ \{\{-, -\}$. When it satisfies

$$\{a, \{b, c\}\} - \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{\{a, b\}, c\} = 0,$$

we call it a *modified double Poisson bracket*.

Double Poisson brackets are modified double Poisson brackets
Converse is far from true !

Theorem (Arthamonov,'17)

For a modified double Poisson bracket $\{\{-, -\}$ on A ,
 $\{\text{tr}(a), \text{tr}(b)\}_n = \text{tr}(\{a, b\})$ defines a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$.

The conjecture...

Conjecture ([Arthamonov, '17])

On $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$, the following operations define modified double Poisson brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, x_2\}^I &= -x_2x_1 \otimes 1, & \{x_2, x_1\}^I &= x_1x_2 \otimes 1, \\ \{x_2, x_3\}^I &= -x_2 \otimes x_3, & \{x_3, x_2\}^I &= x_2 \otimes x_3, \\ \{x_3, x_1\}^I &= -1 \otimes x_3x_1, & \{x_1, x_3\}^I &= 1 \otimes x_1x_3, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1, x_2\}^II &= -x_1 \otimes x_2, & \{x_2, x_1\}^II &= x_1 \otimes x_2, \\ \{x_2, x_3\}^II &= x_3 \otimes x_2, & \{x_3, x_2\}^II &= -x_3 \otimes x_2, \\ \{x_3, x_1\}^II &= x_1 \otimes x_3 - x_3 \otimes x_1, & & \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the remaining omitted terms involving pairs of generators are assumed to be zero.

Plan for the talk

- 1 (Modified) double brackets
- 2 **The approach of Goncharov-Gubarev**
- 3 Mixed double Poisson algebras

Observation

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^H = -x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^H = x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^H = -x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_3 - x_3 \otimes x_1,$$

The map $\{\{-, -\}\}^H$ restricts to $V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$

Observation

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^H = -x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^H = x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^H = -x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_3 - x_3 \otimes x_1,$$

The map $\{\{-, -\}\}^H$ restricts to $V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$

Definition ([Schedler,'09;ORS,'13;DSKV,'15])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for vector space V satisfying Van den Bergh's **cyclic skewsymmetry** and $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac} = 0$ turns V into a *double Lie algebra*.

Observation

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^H = -x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^H = x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^H = -x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^H = x_1 \otimes x_3 - x_3 \otimes x_1,$$

The map $\{\{-, -\}\}^H$ restricts to $V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$

Definition ([Schedler,'09;ORS,'13;DSKV,'15])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for vector space V satisfying Van den Bergh's **cyclic skewsymmetry** and $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac} = 0$ turns V into a *double Lie algebra*.

Problem: $\{\{-, -\}\}^H$ is *not* a double Lie algebra

$$\rightsquigarrow \{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^H + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^H = -(x_1 \otimes x_2 - x_2 \otimes x_1)$$

What to do ???

Another observation

Fix a double Lie algebra $(V, \{\{-, -\}\})$.

Fix dual bases (e_k) and (e^k) of $\text{End}(V) \simeq \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{k})$ under trace pairing

We can define $R : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ uniquely through

$$\{\{u, v\}\} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d^2} e_k(u) \otimes R(e^k)(v), \quad u, v \in V.$$

Lemma ([Goncharov-Kolesnikov, '18])

R is a skewsymmetric Rota-Baxter operator on $\text{End}(V)$, i.e.

- 1 $R = -R^*$ (dual for trace pairing);
- 2 $R(e)R(f) = R(R(e)f + eR(f)), \forall e, f \in \text{End}(V)$.

Another observation

Fix a double Lie algebra $(V, \{\{-, -\}\})$.

Fix dual bases (e_k) and (e^k) of $\text{End}(V) \simeq \text{Mat}_d(\mathbb{k})$ under trace pairing

We can define $R : \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ uniquely through

$$\{\{u, v\}\} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d^2} e_k(u) \otimes R(e^k)(v), \quad u, v \in V.$$

Lemma ([Goncharov-Kolesnikov, '18])

R is a skewsymmetric Rota-Baxter operator on $\text{End}(V)$, i.e.

- 1 $R = -R^*$ (dual for trace pairing);
- 2 $R(e)R(f) = R(R(e)f + eR(f)), \forall e, f \in \text{End}(V)$.

Side note : equivalent to skewsymmetric solution of AYBE on $\text{End}(V)$, i.e. $r_{13}r_{12} - r_{12}r_{23} + r_{23}r_{13} = 0$ and $r + \tau_{(12)}r = 0$ for $r \in \text{End}(V)^{\otimes 2}$

λ -double Lie algebras

Idea of [Goncharov-Gubarev,'22] : consider RB operator of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$:

$$R(e)R(f) = R(R(e)f + eR(f) + \lambda ef), \quad \forall e, f \in \text{End}(V)$$

λ -double Lie algebras

Idea of [Goncharov-Gubarev,'22] : consider RB operator of weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$:

$$R(e)R(f) = R(R(e)f + eR(f) + \lambda ef), \quad \forall e, f \in \text{End}(V)$$

Definition ([Goncharov-Gubarev,'22])

A \mathbb{k} -bilinear map $\{\{ -, - \}\} : V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for vector space V satisfying

- $\{\{u, v\}\} + \tau_{(12)} \{\{v, u\}\} = \lambda(u \otimes v - v \otimes u)$ for $u, v \in V$;
- $\mathbb{D}\text{Jac}(u, v, w) = -\lambda v \otimes_1 \{\{u, w\}\}$ for $u, v, w \in V$;

turns V into a λ -double Lie algebra.

Conjecture for $\{\{-, -\}\}^{\text{II}}$

Theorem ([GG,'22])

A λ -double Lie algebra structure on a vector space V extends uniquely through the derivation rules to a modified double Poisson bracket on $A = \text{Ass}(V)$.

In particular, this induces a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$.

Conjecture for $\{\{-, -\}^H$

Theorem ([GG,'22])

A λ -double Lie algebra structure on a vector space V extends uniquely through the derivation rules to a modified double Poisson bracket on $A = \text{Ass}(V)$.

In particular, this induces a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{k}[\text{Rep}(A, n)]^{\text{GL}_n}$.

Proposition ([GG,'22])

Arthamonov's map $\{\{-, -\}^H$ is a (-1) -double Lie algebra on the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$.

Corollary ([GG,'22])

$\{\{-, -\}^H$ defines a modified double Poisson bracket on $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$.

\rightsquigarrow This proves half of the conjecture

Plan for the talk

- 1 (Modified) double brackets
- 2 The approach of Goncharov-Gubarev
- 3 **Mixed double Poisson algebras**

The problem of $\{\{-, -\}\}^I$

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^I = -x_2x_1 \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^I = x_1x_2 \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^I = -x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^I = x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^I = -1 \otimes x_3x_1,$$

$$\{\{x_1, x_3\}\}^I = 1 \otimes x_1x_3$$

Problem 1 : does not restrict to $V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$

Problem 2 : not $\{\{u, v\}\} + \tau_{(12)} \{\{v, u\}\} = \lambda(u \otimes v - v \otimes u)$ as

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^I = -x_2x_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^I = -x_2 \otimes x_3 + x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_1, x_3\}\}^I = -1 \otimes x_3x_1 + x_1x_3 \otimes 1$$

The problem of $\{\{-, -\}\}^I$

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^I = -x_2x_1 \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^I = x_1x_2 \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^I = -x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^I = x_2 \otimes x_3,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^I = -1 \otimes x_3x_1,$$

$$\{\{x_1, x_3\}\}^I = 1 \otimes x_1x_3$$

Problem 1 : does not restrict to $V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ for $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{k}x_j$

Problem 2 : not $\{\{u, v\}\} + \tau_{(12)} \{\{v, u\}\} = \lambda(u \otimes v - v \otimes u)$ as

$$\{\{x_1, x_2\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_2, x_1\}\}^I = -x_2x_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_2, x_3\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_3, x_2\}\}^I = -x_2 \otimes x_3 + x_3 \otimes x_2,$$

$$\{\{x_3, x_1\}\}^I + \tau_{(12)} \{\{x_1, x_3\}\}^I = -1 \otimes x_3x_1 + x_1x_3 \otimes 1$$

Idea: Should consider something like : for $u, v \in V$

$$\{\{u, v\}\} + \tau_{(12)} \{\{v, u\}\} = \lambda(u, v)(u \otimes v - v \otimes u) + \mu(u, v)(1 \otimes uv - vu \otimes 1)$$

First step

For $d \geq 1$, let $A = \mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$

We follow [F. '25; arXiv:2405.17930]

Lemma

Given a bilinear map $\{\{-, -\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules and for any $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\{\{v_i, v_j\} + \{\{v_j, v_i\}\}^\circ = \underbrace{\lambda_{ij}}_{\text{sym.}} (v_i \otimes v_j - v_j \otimes v_i) + \underbrace{\mu_{ij}}_{\text{skewsym.}} (1 \otimes v_i v_j - v_j v_i \otimes 1)$$

then it satisfies Arthamonov's H_0 -skewsymmetry when

$$\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{kl} = \mu_{il} - \mu_{kj} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d.$$

First step

For $d \geq 1$, let $A = \mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$

We follow [F. '25; arXiv:2405.17930]

Lemma

Given a bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules and for any $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\{\{v_i, v_j\}\} + \{\{v_j, v_i\}\}^\circ = \underbrace{\lambda_{ij}}_{\text{sym.}} (v_i \otimes v_j - v_j \otimes v_i) + \underbrace{\mu_{ij}}_{\text{skewsym.}} (1 \otimes v_i v_j - v_j v_i \otimes 1)$$

then it satisfies Arthamonov's H_0 -skewsymmetry when

$$\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{kl} = \mu_{il} - \mu_{kj} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d.$$

Proof.

For $a = v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_r}$ and $b = v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_s}$, we have modulo $[A, A]$

$$m \circ (\{\{a, b\}\} + \{\{b, a\}\}^\circ) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{\beta=1}^s (\lambda_{i_\alpha j_\beta} - \lambda_{i_\alpha - 1 j_\beta - 1} + \mu_{i_\alpha - 1 j_\beta} - \mu_{i_\alpha j_\beta - 1}) V_{\alpha, \beta}$$

for $V_{\alpha, \beta} := v_{i_\alpha} a_\alpha^+ a_\alpha^- v_{j_\beta} b_\beta^+ b_\beta^-$.



First definition

Condition for all indices: $\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{kl} = \mu_{il} - \mu_{kj}$

First definition

Condition for all indices: $\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{kl} = \mu_{il} - \mu_{kj}$

For $\lambda_j := \lambda_{jj}$, $\lambda_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)$, and $\mu_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$

First definition

Condition for all indices: $\lambda_{ij} - \lambda_{kl} = \mu_{il} - \mu_{kj}$

For $\lambda_j := \lambda_{jj}$, $\lambda_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j + \lambda_k)$, and $\mu_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$

Definition

Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{k}^d$. A bilinear map $\{\{-, -\}\} : A \times A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ satisfying the derivation rules and for all $1 \leq i, j \leq d$

$$\{\{v_i, v_j\}\} + \{\{v_j, v_i\}\}^\circ = \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2}(v_i \otimes v_j - v_j \otimes v_i) + \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2}(1 \otimes v_i v_j - v_j v_i \otimes 1)$$

turns the pair $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ into a *mixed double algebra of weight $\underline{\lambda}$* .

Corollary

A mixed double algebra of weight $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$ is equipped with a modified double bracket.

Main definition

Let again $A = \mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$.

Definition

A mixed double algebra $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ of weight $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$ is *Poisson* when, for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}\text{Jac}(v_i, v_j, v_k) = & -\frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2} v_j \otimes_1 \{\{v_i, v_k\}\} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2} 1 \otimes_1 ((1 \otimes v_j) \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}). \end{aligned}$$

First term means $\{\{v_i, v_k\}\}' \otimes v_j \otimes \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}''$

Second term means $\{\{v_i, v_k\}\}' \otimes 1 \otimes v_j \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}''$

Main definition

Let again $A = \mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$.

Definition

A mixed double algebra $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ of weight $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$ is *Poisson* when, for any $1 \leq i, j, k \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}\text{Jac}(v_i, v_j, v_k) = & -\frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2} v_j \otimes_1 \{\{v_i, v_k\}\} \\ & + \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{2} 1 \otimes_1 ((1 \otimes v_j) \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}). \end{aligned}$$

First term means $\{\{v_i, v_k\}\}' \otimes v_j \otimes \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}''$

Second term means $\{\{v_i, v_k\}\}' \otimes 1 \otimes v_j \{\{v_i, v_k\}\}''$

Remark: If all $\lambda_j = \lambda$, these are the conditions of [Goncharov-Gubarev, '22]

Main result

Proposition

Fix $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ a mixed double Poisson algebra of weight $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$.
Then the Jacobi identity

$$\{a, \{b, c\}\} - \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{\{a, b\}, c\} = 0,$$

is satisfied for any $a, b, c \in A$.

Main result

Proposition

Fix $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ a mixed double Poisson algebra of weight $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$.
Then the Jacobi identity

$$\{a, \{b, c\}\} - \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{\{a, b\}, c\} = 0,$$

is satisfied for any $a, b, c \in A$.

Theorem

If $(A, \{\{-, -\}\})$ is a mixed double Poisson algebra of weight $\underline{\lambda}$,
then $\{\{-, -\}\}$ is a modified double Poisson bracket.

Conjecture for $\{\{-, -\}^I$

Theorem

$\{\{-, -\}^I$ defines a mixed double Poisson algebra on $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ of weight $(-1, -1, 1)$.

Conjecture for $\{-, -\}^I$

Theorem

$\{-, -\}^I$ defines a mixed double Poisson algebra on $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ of weight $(-1, -1, 1)$.

Corollary

$\{-, -\}^I$ defines a modified double Poisson bracket on $A = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$.

\rightsquigarrow This proves* the second half of the conjecture

*Independent proof of the conjecture by V.Gubarev's student A.Savel'ev at Novosibirsk State U.

Some side results (1)

Proposition

The algebra $\mathbb{k}\langle v, w \rangle$ is a mixed double Poisson algebra of weight $(1, -1)$ if it is equipped with the operation $\{\{-, -\}\}$ satisfying one of the following four conditions

$$\{\{v, w\}\} = 0,$$

$$\{\{w, v\}\} = -1 \otimes vw + vw \otimes 1;$$

$$\{\{v, w\}\} = 1 \otimes vw,$$

$$\{\{w, v\}\} = -1 \otimes vw;$$

$$\{\{v, w\}\} = -vw \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{w, v\}\} = vw \otimes 1;$$

$$\{\{v, w\}\} = 1 \otimes vw - vw \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{w, v\}\} = 0.$$

Proposition

$\mathbb{k}\langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ is a mixed double algebra of weight $(1, 1, -1)$ for

$$\{\{v_1, v_1\}\} = 0, \quad \{\{v_2, v_2\}\} = 0, \quad \{\{v_3, v_3\}\} = 0,$$

$$\{\{v_1, v_2\}\} = \tilde{\alpha}_3 v_1 \otimes v_2 - \tilde{\beta}_3 v_2 \otimes v_1, \quad \{\{v_2, v_1\}\} = (-1 + \tilde{\beta}_3) v_1 \otimes v_2 + (1 - \tilde{\alpha}_3) v_2 \otimes v_1,$$

$$\{\{v_1, v_3\}\} = \alpha_2 1 \otimes v_1 v_3 - \beta_2 v_3 v_1 \otimes 1, \quad \{\{v_3, v_1\}\} = (-1 + \beta_2) 1 \otimes v_3 v_1 + (1 - \alpha_2) v_1 v_3 \otimes 1,$$

$$\{\{v_2, v_3\}\} = \alpha_1 1 \otimes v_2 v_3 - \beta_1 v_3 v_2 \otimes 1, \quad \{\{v_3, v_2\}\} = (-1 + \beta_1) 1 \otimes v_3 v_2 + (1 - \alpha_1) v_2 v_3 \otimes 1.$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \tilde{\beta}_3 \in \mathbb{k}$. Furthermore, $\{\{-, -\}\}$ is Poisson when the triples $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \tilde{\beta}_3)$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3)$ take one of the following 6 values

$$(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1).$$

Some side results (2)

Proposition

Fix $d \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq d$. The following defines a mixed double Poisson algebra structure on $\mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$ of weight $\mathbf{1}_{\delta, d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{v_i, v_i\}\} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ \{\{v_i, v_j\}\} &= v_i \otimes v_j - v_j \otimes v_i, \quad \{\{v_j, v_i\}\} = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq \delta, \\ \{\{v_i, v_k\}\} &= 1 \otimes v_i v_k - v_k v_i \otimes 1, \quad \{\{v_k, v_i\}\} = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \delta < k \leq d, \\ \{\{v_k, v_l\}\} &= -v_k \otimes v_l + v_l \otimes v_k, \quad \{\{v_l, v_k\}\} = 0, \quad \text{for } \delta < k < l \leq d.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Fix $d \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \delta \leq d$. The following defines a mixed double Poisson algebra structure on $\mathbb{k}\langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$ of weight $\mathbf{1}_{\delta, d}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{v_i, v_i\}\} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ \{\{v_i, v_j\}\} &= v_i \otimes v_j, \quad \{\{v_j, v_i\}\} = -v_i \otimes v_j, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq \delta, \\ \{\{v_i, v_k\}\} &= -v_k v_i \otimes 1, \quad \{\{v_k, v_i\}\} = v_i v_k \otimes 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \delta < k \leq d, \\ \{\{v_k, v_l\}\} &= -v_k \otimes v_l, \quad \{\{v_l, v_k\}\} = v_k \otimes v_l, \quad \text{for } \delta < k < l \leq d.\end{aligned}$$

Some problems

- Does there exist a mixed double Poisson algebra structure on A_2 of weight (λ_1, λ_2) with $\lambda_1 \neq \pm\lambda_2$?
- Non-zero self-brackets? ($\{\{v_i, v_i\}\} \neq 0$ for v_i generator)
- For any $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{k}^d$, does there exist a mixed double Poisson algebra structure on A_d of that weight?
- Find examples of mixed double Poisson algebras that are not simply obtained by localisation/quotient of such a structure on a free algebra.
- Reformulate the conditions **red** and **blue** with operator R (or a pair?)
 \rightsquigarrow Generalize R λ -skew-symmetric RB operator of weight λ

Thank you for your attention !

Details and references in [\[arXiv:2405.17930\]](#)

Maxime Fairon

Maxime.Fairon@u-bourgogne.fr

mfairon.perso.math.cnrs.fr